David Luo Exercise 4.71

3/5

First, we must rewrite the inner term into something we can work with, using Stirling's:

$$\frac{(N-k)^{k}(N-k)!}{N!} = exp(kln(N-k) + ln((N-k)!) - ln(N!))$$

$$= exp(kln(N-k) + (N-k+\frac{1}{2})ln(N-k) - N + k + ln(\sqrt{2\pi}) - (N+\frac{1}{2})lnN + N - ln(\sqrt{2\pi}) + O(\frac{1}{N}))$$

$$= exp(k + kln(N-k) + (N-k+\frac{1}{2})ln(N-k) - (N+\frac{1}{2})lnN + O(\frac{1}{N}))$$

$$= exp(k + (N+\frac{1}{2})ln(1-\frac{k}{N}) + O(\frac{1}{N}))$$
not sure why you dropped the k^3/N^2 term (it dominates the k^4/N^3 term), you should have O(k^3/N^2) instead of O(k^4/N^3). The bigger problem is that this only holds for k < k_0 for k_0 sufficiently small, but -0pt b/c I already took off for not talking about which k_0 in the Laplace

k_0 for

method makes both sides work out Then, we can use the Laplace method on the summation and our approximated inner term to show that the problem P(N) is indeed equal to $\sqrt{\pi N/2}$:

$$P(N) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{N} e^{\frac{-k^2}{2N}} \sim \sqrt{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx = \sqrt{\pi N/2} + O(1)$$

-1pt for not explicitly mentioning the 1 to k_0 vs. k_0 to N split

-1pt for using ~ here--need to be more precise than that else you just get P(N) ~ sqrt(pi*N/2), which is weaker than P(N) = sqrt(pi*N/2) + O(1)