

## COS 488 Problem Set #5 Question #4

Tim Ratigan

March 9, 2017

Let  $a_n$  be the total number of inversions on the set of involutions of length  $n$ , and let  $b_n$  be the total number of involutions of length  $n$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an involution and let  $a < b$  while  $\sigma(a) > \sigma(b)$  be an inversion. Then consider the map  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  where we delete the cycles containing  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\sigma$  and restrict the involution to the remaining letters. There are 3 cases:

- $a, b$  are in the same 2-cycle or they are both fixed.

First of all,  $a$  and  $b$  cannot be fixed because then they wouldn't be inverted, so we only need to consider the first case.

In this case, first note that appending the 2-cycle  $((n-1)n)$  to the end of  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}_{n-2}$  gives an inversion on an involution on  $n$  letters  $\sigma''$ , and deleting the inversion on this  $\sigma''$  gives back  $\sigma'$ , so this map surjects onto involutions of length  $n-2$ . We wish to determine the number of elements in the pre-image so that we can count the number of inversions of this form. Given a  $\sigma'$ , all the elements in the pre-image of  $\sigma'$  can be obtained by choosing  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$  and inserting the 2-cycle  $(jk)$  into  $\sigma'$  as so (with the example  $\sigma' = (24)$  and  $j = 2, k = 5$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \rightarrow & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & \rightarrow & 1 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

Here, everything after  $j$  was incremented once and everything after  $k$  was incremented twice. One can see that deleting 2, 5 from the second permutation gets the first one by restricting to the remaining letters.

As a result, each  $\sigma'$  has  $\binom{n}{2}$  elements in the pre-image in this case. This implies that the number of inversions  $a, b$  on involutions on  $n$  letters where  $a$  and  $b$  are in the same cycle is exactly  $\binom{n}{2}b_{n-2}$ .

- One of  $a, b$  is in a 2-cycle, the other is fixed.

WLOG let  $a$  be in a 2-cycle with  $a'$  and let  $b$  be fixed. Since  $a < b$  we must have  $a' > b$ , so  $\sigma$  sends  $(a, b, a') \rightarrow (a', b, a)$ . Consider any involution  $\sigma'$  on  $n-3$  letters. In order to find the pre-images of this under this map, we can insert 3 letters  $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$  as before into  $\sigma'$  with the attached permutation  $(ik)$ . Then each of these pre-images maps twice to  $\sigma'$  since both the inversions  $i < j \rightarrow k > j$  and  $j < k \rightarrow j > i$  map to  $\sigma'$  when they are deleted.

As a result, each  $\sigma'$  has  $2\binom{n}{3}$  elements in the pre-image in this case. This implies that the number of inversions  $a < b \rightarrow \sigma(a) > \sigma(b)$  on involutions on  $n$  letters where exactly one of  $a, b$  are in a 2-cycle is exactly  $2\binom{n}{3}b_{n-3}$ .

- $a, b$  are in two distinct 2-cycles

We follow the same procedure as in the previous two cases. Consider an involution  $\sigma' \in \mathcal{P}_{n-4}$ . We can find the pre-image of this involution by inserting 4 elements  $1 \leq i < j < k < \ell \leq n$  to which we apply 2 disjoint 2-cycles. Then the new permutation restricted to  $i, j, k, \ell$  is either  $(ij)(k\ell)$ ,  $(ik)(j\ell)$ , or  $(i\ell)(jk)$ . In the first case, there are no inversions between two elements in disjoint 2-cycles. In the second case, we have  $j < k \rightarrow \ell > i$  and  $i < \ell \rightarrow j > k$ , so there are 2 such inversions. In the last case, we have  $i < j \rightarrow \ell > k$ ,  $i < k \rightarrow \ell > j$ ,  $j < \ell \rightarrow k > i$ , and  $k < \ell \rightarrow j > i$ , so there are 4 inversions of this type.

In total, for each choice of  $(i, j, k\ell)$ , there are 6 permutations that map back to  $\sigma'$ , so the pre-image of  $\sigma'$  has size  $6\binom{n}{4}$ . As a result, the number of inversions  $a < b \rightarrow \sigma(a) > \sigma(b)$  on involutions on  $n$  letters where  $a, b$  are members of disjoint 2-cycles is  $6\binom{n}{4}b_{n-4}$ .

Hence  $a_n = \binom{n}{2}b_{n-2} + 2\binom{n}{3}b_{n-3} + 6\binom{n}{4}b_{n-4}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \binom{n}{2}b_{n-2} + 2\binom{n}{3}b_{n-3} + 6\binom{n}{4}b_{n-4} \\ \frac{a_n}{n!} &= \frac{b_{n-2}}{2(n-2)!} + \frac{b_{n-3}}{3(n-3)!} + \frac{b_{n-4}}{4(n-4)!} \end{aligned}$$

If  $A(z) = [z^n] \frac{a_n}{n!}$  is the PGF for inversions of involutions and  $B(z) = [z^n] \frac{b_n}{n!} = e^{z+z^2/2}$  is the PGF for inversions, then this implies

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \frac{z^2}{2}B(z) + \frac{z^3}{3}B(z) + \frac{z^4}{4}B(z) \\ &= (z^2/2 + z^3/3 + z^4/4)e^{z+z^2/2} \end{aligned}$$

We wish to compute  $\frac{a_n}{b_n}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We will use the approximation given in the slides:  $b_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{e}}}(n/e)^{n/2}e^{\sqrt{n}}$ . Note then that  $a_n \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{e}}}\left(\frac{n^2}{2}((n-2)/e)^{(n-2)/2}e^{\sqrt{n-2}} + \frac{n^3}{3}((n-3)/e)^{(n-3)/2}e^{\sqrt{n-3}} + \frac{n^4}{4}((n-4)/e)^{(n-4)/2}e^{\sqrt{n-4}}\right)$ . It is easy to see that the last term is  $O(n^{n/2+4}e^{-(n-4)/2+\sqrt{n-4}})$  which dominates the other two, so

$$\frac{a_n}{b_n} \sim \frac{\frac{n^4}{4}((n-4)/e)^{(n-4)/2}e^{\sqrt{n-4}}}{(n/e)^{n/2}e^{\sqrt{n}}}$$

why does it dominate?

Note  $(n-4)^{(n-4)/2} \sim n^{(n-4)/2}(1-4/n)^{(n-4)/2} \sim n^{(n-4)/2}e^{-2} = n^{n/2-2}e^{-2}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_n}{b_n} &\sim \frac{n^{n/2+2}e^{-n/2}e^{\sqrt{n-4}}}{4(n/e)^{n/2}e^{\sqrt{n}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}n^2e^{\sqrt{n-4}-\sqrt{n}} \sim \frac{n^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$